



# 2017 Missouri Envirothon Judges' Scoring Sheet for Team Presentations

TEAM NUMBER \_\_\_\_\_ ROOM NUMBER \_\_\_\_\_

JUDGE'S NUMBER OR INITIALS \_\_\_\_\_

|   |                        |
|---|------------------------|
| 0 | Not at all             |
| 1 | Poor or poorly         |
| 2 | Fair or slightly well  |
| 3 | Good or fairly well    |
| 4 | Excellent or very well |
| 5 | Outstanding            |

| Part I Preparation & Presentation of Plan (30 point maximum)  | Circle Score |   |   |   |   |   |
|---|--------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| A. How well did the presentation address or identify:   |              |   |   |   |   |   |
| • The interrelationship between the environment, natural resources, and the different natural resource management strategies? | 0            | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| • All the different players/interest groups affected by the problem?  | 0            | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| • The major natural resources areas (soil/land use, aquatic ecology, forestry, wildlife?)                                     | 0            | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| • The current issue?  | 0            | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| • The specific environmental problem and related issues regarding the problem?  | 0            | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| B. Were references and resources cited in the team presentation?  | 0            | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| <b>Part I Subtotal</b>  |              |   |   |   |   |   |

| Part II Application of Data (35 point maximum)  | Circle Score |   |   |   |   |   |
|---|--------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| A. Team demonstrated a solid understanding of political issue(s) related to the problem (regulations, mandates, impact on political system/community).  | 0            | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| B. Team demonstrated a solid understanding of ecological/environmental issue(s) related to the problem.   | 0            | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| C. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of economic issue(s) related to the problem including the cost & benefit of the proposed plan, (cost of implementing the plan, economic impact on local resources, cost of doing nothing, future costs, funding source(s), etc.) | 0            | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| D. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of social and/cultural issue(s) related to the problem (private property rights, traditions, clean and healthy environment, right to farm, urban issues, cultural issues, environmental justice).                                | 0            | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| E. The team presented one viable solution to the problem addressing the resource issue.   | 0            | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| F. Did the solution reflect or address the concerns of all affected groups and issues?  | 0            | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| G. Appearance of the team was professional and appropriate for the conditions of the presentation.  | 0            | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| <b>Part II Subtotal</b>   |              |   |   |   |   |   |

| Part III Quality of Presentation (20 point maximum)  | Circle Score |   |   |   |   |   |
|--|--------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| A. Presentation was well organized with a clear introduction and strong conclusion.  | 0            | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| B. Participants enhanced the presentation (eye contact, gestures, voice inflection, originality, exhibited professionalism, etc.)        | 0            | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| C. Visual aids were used to make major points and show conclusions. (Visual aids should be correct, eye appealing, readable, neat, etc.) | 0            | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| D. Questions were answered logically and concisely by all team members participating.  | 0            | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| <b>Part III Subtotal</b>   |              |   |   |   |   |   |

| Part IV Required Elements (15 point maximum)   | Circle Score |   |   |   |   |   |
|--|--------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| A. Add up to five points for all team members participating in presentation. (Each team member gets 1 point for equal oral participation in presentation.)   | 0            | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| B. Add up to five points if the solution in the presentation has potential to be applied or implemented with long term sustainability to natural resources.  | 0            | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| C. Up to five points will be awarded if the presentation was accomplished in the allotted time and the team made effective use of their time. <b>ROOM MONITOR TO ENTER SCORE BELOW ON THE LINE TO THE RIGHT:</b><br>5 pts-6-7 min   4pts-5-6 min   3pts-4-5 min   2pts-3-4 min  1pt-2-3 min  0pts-< 2 min<br>5 pts-9-10 min   4 pts-8-9 min   3 pts-7-8 min   2 pts-6-7 min   1 pt-5-6 min   0 pts-< 5 min | 0            | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| <b>Part IV Subtotal</b>  |              |   |   |   |   |   |

**FINAL SCORE (out of 100 points)**